Recent research on population level cessation help

This presentation argues that quitting is largely driven by population level policies

Chapman, MacKenzie. 2010; Edwards, Bondy et al 2014, Soulakova, Crockett. 2017

George Thomson
University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand

george.thomson@otago.ac.nz

November 9th 2018





Background

Tobacco Control Scale (TCS) Joossens & Raw 2006

Priority weighting of policy scoring:

- Price 30%
- Smokefree public places 22%
- Public information campaign spending 15%
- Advertising bans 13%
- Health warnings 10%
- Individual treatment 10%



Evidence for comprehensive policies



• *Europe:* Strong association between TCS scores and decreased smoking prevalence in EU27 from 2006 to 2014 (p=0.03) Feliu et al 2018

• Across world:

- Increases in MPower scores 2007-2014 associated with prevalence decrease (p=0.023 adjusted for income & region)
 Gravely et al 2017
- One-unit increase in MPower score, 2007-2014, reduced prevalence by 0.2 percentage points (p < 0.05) Ngo et al 2017
- Inequalities: Higher TCS scores more likely to help disadvantaged most Allen et al 2016

What policies may help Aotearoa?

NZ policy study 2017

Participants saw 'Social marketing campaigns and extending smoke-free regulations to include outdoor areas of cafés and bars' as politically feasible, likely to narrow ethnic disparities and be effective. Ball et al 2017

• Canada: No smoking in outside areas of bars or restaurants associated with higher success in quit

FRESH

attempts Chaiton et al 2016